

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSI (NEW F PATENTS AND TRADEMIARKS
Washington D C (2020)
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/747,207	12/22/2000	Gary Lee Diven	PU000168	1078	
75	90 01 14 2003				
Joseph S. Tripoli Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc. Patent Operation			EXAMINER		
			GEMMELL, ELIZABETH M		
Two Independence Way, P. O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N	
,,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary		09/747,207	DIVEN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Beth Gemmell	2882	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period cure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 l	<u>December 2000</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			
· _	ion of Claims  Claim(s) 1.15 is/ore pending in the application			
4)[	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are withdrawn f			
5,\_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	TOTT COTSIDERATION.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
	ion Papers	or orodion roquiromonic		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2000 is/a	re: a)⊟ accepted or b)⊠	objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abe	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority I	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in a	Application No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	;
_a	) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has l	peen received.	
Attachmen	•	. ,		
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 4 . Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2882

# DETAILED ACTION

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14 drawn to Group I, classified in class 313, subclass 407.
- II. Claim 15, drawn to Group II, classified in class 445, subclass 30.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Groups I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the damper wire could be attached by welding rather than looping.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Carlos Herrera on 17 December 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Art Unit: 2882

Office action. Claim 15 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### **Drawings**

Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,4-8, and 10-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hashiba et al. (US Patent 4,780,641).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/747,207

Art Unit: 2882

Re claim 1: Hashiba et al. discloses, in figure 3 and throughout the discloser, an apparatus for retaining a damper wire on a grill type mask assembly in a cathode ray tube comprising: a grill type mask assembly having a frame (13) and a mask(3); a damper spring (21) comprising a first metallic layer and a second metallic layer (column 3, lines 20+), the damper spring having a first end and an opposing second end, wherein the second end is coupled to the frame; and a tab (22) formed on the damper spring and adapted to accept the damper wire that traverses the mask.

Re claim 2: Hashiba et al. discloses, in column 3, lines 21+, the first metallic layer being a different material than the second metallic layer.

Re claim 4: Hashiba et al. discloses, in column 3, line 21, the second metallic layer is comprised of stainless steel.

Re claim 5: Hashiba et al. discloses, in figure 3 and throughout the discloser, the first metallic layer disposed on an inner surface of the damper spring for allowing the damper spring to curl inward and unload the damper wire during high temperature processing.

Re claim 6: Hashiba et al. discloses, in figure 3 and throughout the discloser, the second metallic layer disposed on an outer surface of the damper spring for allowing the damper spring to exert tension on the damper wire during normal operating temperature.

Re claim 7: Hashiba et al. discloses, in figure 3 and throughout the discloser, the first end of the damper spring having a curvature perpendicular to the first end of the

Art Unit: 2882

damper spring, for allowing the damper wire attached to the tab to have a controllable elevation with respect to the mask.

Re claim 8: Hashiba et al. discloses, in column 3, lines 20+, the damper wire coupled between the tab and the damper spring by welding the damper wire to the tab and the damper spring.

Re claim 10: Hashiba et al. discloses, in figure 3 and throughout the discloser, an apparatus for retaining a damper wire proximate a grill type mask assembly in a cathode ray tube comprising: a mask assembly having a frame (13) and a mask (3); a damper spring (21) comprising a first end having a curvature and an opposing second end, wherein the second end is coupled to the frame, the first end having a curvature aligned with an edge of the mask for adjustably defining an elevation level of the damper wire with respect to the mask.

Re claim 11: Hashiba et al. discloses, in figure 3 and throughout the discloser, a grill type mask assembly in a cathode ray tube, comprising: a frame (13); a mask (3), including strands, disposed within the frame; and a damper spring (21) coupled to the mask including a portion formed by a first layer (21) having a first coefficient of thermal expansion coupled to a portion formed by a second layer (7) and having a different coefficient of thermal expansion (column 3, lines 21+) for vaying a tension in the damper spring to compensate for changes induced by corresponding changes in temperature within the cathode ray tube.

Re claim 12: Hashiba et al. discloses, in figure 3 and throughout the discloser, the first and second layer are coupled to form a bi-metal arrangement.

Art Unit: 2882

Re claim 13: Hashiba et al. discloses, in column 3, lines 20+, a damper wire that traverses the mask is coupled to the first and second layers that compensate for a change in length of the damper wire induced by temperature changes.

Re claim 14: Hashiba et al. discloses, in figure 3 and throughout the discloser, a tab (22) formed on the damper spring and adapted to accept the damper wire.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashiba et al. in view of Ito et al. (US Patent 5,672,935).

Hashiba et al. fails to disclose the first metallic layer comprised of carbon steel. Ito et al. discloses the first metallic layer comprised of carbon steel.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have recognized and is further taught by Ito et al. (column 1, lines 34+) the use of carbon steel because by using a high expansion coefficient metal the spring is able to sustain its shape during variable temperatures. Therefore, the tension on the damper wire will remain constant, in turn improving the quality of the mask. Ito et al. further teaches the use of both a high and low expansion coefficient metal for a spring in order to compensate for the variable temperatures within the cathode ray tube.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,207 Page 7

Art Unit: 2882

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The best prior art of record to Hashiba et al., Ito et al., Kume et al. (US Patent 4,682,965), Fendley (US Patent 5,391,957), and Lerner (US Patent 5,394,051) teach conventional damper springs, however they fail to teach or suggest coupling the damper wire to the tab by looping the damper wire around the tab and wedging the damper wire in a crotch between the tab and the damper spring.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- US Patent 5,394,051 discloses a damper spring
- US Patent 5,391,957 discloses a single reed spring
- US Patent 4,682,965 discloses a damper spring using a stainless steel metallic layer
- JP Patent 405128980A discloses a damper spring

Art Unit: 2882

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beth Gemmell whose telephone number is (703) 305-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

emg January 2, 2003 W